

## Meeting Minutes

### Call to Order:

Mr. Gery called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m.

### Attendance:

Bob Gery	Scott McMackin
Karen Mauer	Jim Fry
George Althouse	Steve Pany, Pany & Lentz
	Jim Ennis, M.C.P.C.
	Paul Ulicny

### Business:

#### 399 Washington Street - Steve Pany

Mr. Pany reported the play area has been eliminated in the front yard, two additional parking spaces have been added, and the rear play area has been widened and altered. No play equipment will be placed inside the front fenced area. The fence will provide safety for the three emergency exits during fire drills. There may be incidental walks by children in the front, but only sporadic and short term. Mr. McMackin suggested a note on the plans stating the front yard is not to be used as a play area. Mr. Pany stated there will be less traffic trips than the minimum triggering a traffic study. They propose to be well under the 100 trips. Ms. Mauer asked if there was any requirement in writing requiring maintenance of the natural barrier. It is included in the zoning decision. George Althouse moved to recommend final approval of this plan. The motion was seconded by Ms. Mauer. The motion was approved unanimously.

#### Parking Improvement Study

Mr. Ennis has researched several parking improvement studies done in the county. He proposes using Ambler Borough's plan as a starting point. The Ambler plan addressed similar issues that East Greenville is experiencing. For the June meeting, he plans to have a draft of the introduction chapter. Mr. Ennis reported the Borough Hall parking lot should be used for commercial/business use and not for general residential parking. Time limit parking was discussed, including no parking in the lot from midnight to 6 AM.

#### Sign Ordinance Update

The draft dated July 20, 2004, was reviewed. It lacks the new technology and multiple tenant issues that the Planning Commission discussed several months ago. Mr. Ulicny suggested mapping the Telford sign code definitions to our code. The sign ordinance issues were discussed in depth and further discussion will continue in June.

#### Historic Architectural Review Board

Mr. Ennis provided a letter explaining the difference between Act 167 and Act 247. The members will review this issue and discuss further in June.

### Adjournment:

The meeting adjourned at 9:00 p.m.